

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric
Company (U 902-E) for Adoption of its 2006
Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA)
Forecast Revenue Requirement and Review of its
Power Procurement Balancing Account.

Application 05-10-004
(Filed October 3, 2005)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
REQUESTING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

On December 15, 2005, the Commission issued Decision (D.) 05-12-045 in Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Energy Resource Recovery Account Proceeding (Application 05-06-007). The decision, in pertinent part, states:

- "Finally, this Decision determines that the statutory method should be used to determine the ongoing CTC revenue requirement." (P. 2.)
- "Several parties' comments on the proposed decision ask for guidance regarding the use of the total portfolio method to determine the indifference costs included in the CRS. In general, these parties are concerned that the use of the statutory method to calculate ongoing CTC may have unintended effects on other components of the CRS. We decline to address in today's Decision the details for determining any of the components of the CRS other than ongoing CTC, as this matter is beyond the scope of the instant proceeding. Issues related to the determination of other CRS components will be addressed in R.02-01-011. We note that there is a working group currently underway in R.02-01-011 to refine the methodology for determining CRS obligations. Today's Decision does not foreclose the ability of this working group to propose changes to the DA CRS calculation methodology to address the effects of today's Decision on other components of CRS." (P. 20.)

- “9. The revenue requirement authorized by this Decision is subject to adjustment and true-up, as necessary, in the event that D.05-10-047 and/or D.05-10-046 are successfully appealed.” (Conclusion of Law 9.)
- “6. Ongoing CTC shall be calculated in accordance with the statutory method described in the body of this Order. If the above-market component of ongoing CTC is negative, this negative amount may offset positive above-market costs included in ongoing CTC to the extent set forth in the body of this Order.” (Ordering Paragraph 6.)
- “7. PG&E shall file revised tariffs which clearly indicate that only the statutory method shall be used to calculate ongoing CTC. PG&E shall file the revised tariffs within 10 days from the date this Order is mailed.” (Ordering Paragraph 7.)

Therefore, **IT IS RULED** that:

1. San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) shall confirm that its calculation of Ongoing Competition Transition Charge is consistent with Decision (D.) 05-12-045. If not, SDG&E shall provide a revised calculation that is consistent with D.05-12-045. SDG&E shall respond no later than January 13, 2006.
2. The revised calculation shall be included in the administrative law judge’s (ALJ) proposed decision, which will be issued for comments. The comment period shall be shortened to 10 days, assuming that all parties will stipulate to a shortened comment period. The ALJ’s proposed decision will be made available electronically to the service list. Any party objecting to the shortened comment period shall notify the ALJ.

Dated December 20, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ BERTRAM D. PATRICK

Bertram D. Patrick
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requesting Additional Information on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated December 20, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KE HUANG

Ke Huang

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.